





Making Proud Choices and Being a Responsible Adult Program Evaluation Five-Year Summary Report Appendices

Prepared for Whitman-Walker Health Rachel McLaughlin, MA

> Prepared by: Shattuck and Associates Sandra L. Saperstein, PhD Michele D. Sadler, PhD Rich Shattuck, MEd

Table of Contents

Table of Tables	
Appendix A. Detailed data analysis methods	4
Appendix B. Implementation Evaluation Tables	8
Appendix C. Knowledge, Attitudes, Self-efficacy, and Intentions Tables	14
Appendix D. Behavior Tables	
Table of Tables	
Appendix Table A 1. MPC Data Preparation Summary	
Appendix Table A 2. BART Data Preparation Summary	
Appendix Table A 3. MPC Summary of missing data prior to imputation	
Appendix Table A 4. BART Summary of missing data prior to imputation	
Appendix Table A 5. Suemmary of scale development procedures	7
Appendix Table B 1. Program Fidelity	
Appendix Table B 2. Facilitator knowledge	
Appendix Table B 3. Classroom management	9
Appendix Table B 4. Session Facilitation	10
Appendix Table B 5. Facilitator ratings of student engagement	11
Appendix Table B 6. Facilitator ratings of teacher engagement	11
Appendix Table B 7. MPC Student satisfaction – Summary Table	11
Appendix Table B 8. MPC Student Satisfaction – Frequency distribution	11
Appendix Table B 9. BART Student satisfaction – Summary TableTable B 9. BART Student satisfaction – Summary Table	12
Appendix Table B 10. BART Student satisfaction – Frequency distribution	12
Appendix Table B 11. Teacher satisfaction with MPC and BART	12
Appendix Table B 12. Teacher ratings of the facilitators	13
Appendix Table C 1. MPC Demographic characteristics	14
Appendix Table C 2. BART Demographic characteristics	
Appendix Table C 3. MPC: Descriptive Statistics for Knowledge Items	
Appendix Table C 4. BART: Descriptive Statistics for Knowledge Items	
Appendix Table C 5. MPC: Summary of linear mixed models for knowledge	
Appendix Table C 6. BART: Summary of linear mixed models for knowledge	
Appendix Table C 7. MPC: Descriptive Statistics for Attitudes toward Unprotected Sex	
Appendix Table C 8. BART: Descriptive Statistics for Attitudes toward Unprotected Sex	
Appendix Table C 9. MPC: Summary of linear mixed models for attitudes about unprotected sex	
Appendix Table C 10. BART: Summary of linear mixed models for attitudes about unprotected sex	
Appendix Table C 11. MPC: Descriptive Statistics for Attitudes toward Condoms	
Appendix Table C 12. BART: Descriptive Statistics for Attitudes toward Condoms	
Appendix Table C 13. MPC: Summary of linear mixed models for attitudes about condoms	
Appendix Table C 14. BART: Summary of linear mixed models for attitudes about condoms	
Appendix Table C 15. MPC: Descriptive Statistics for Attitudes toward Pregnancy	
Appendix Table C 16. BART: Descriptive Statistics for Attitudes toward Pregnancy	
Appendix Table C 17. MPC: Descriptive Statistics for Condom Self-Efficacy	
Appendix Table C 17. Mile: Descriptive Statistics for Condom Self-Efficacy	
Appendix Table C 19. MPC: Summary of linear mixed models for condom self-efficacy	
Appendix Table C 20. BART: Summary of linear mixed models for condom self-efficacy	
Appendix Table C 20. BAKT. Summary of linear mixed models for condom self-efficacy	
Appendix Table C 21. MPC. Descriptive Statistics for Risky Behavior Refusal Self-efficacy	
Appendix Table C 22. BAKT. Descriptive statistics for Risky Behavior Refusal Self-efficacy Appendix Table C 23. MPC: Summary of linear mixed models for risky behavior refusal self-efficacy	
Appendix rable 6.23. IVIF 6. 3diffinally of filled fillized fillodes for fisky behavior refusal self-efficacy	20

Appendix Table C 24. BART: Summary of linear mixed models for risky behavior refusal self-efficacy	26
Appendix Table C 25. MPC: Descriptive Statistics for Intentions Related to Safer Sex	27
Appendix Table C 26. BART: Descriptive Statistics for Intentions Related to Safer Sex	27
Appendix Table C 27. MPC: Summary of linear mixed models for intentions related to safer sex	28
Appendix Table C 28. BART: Summary of linear mixed models for intentions related to safer sex	28
Appendix Table D 1. MPC: Descriptive Statistics for Sex Behavior Items	29
Appendix Table D 2. BART: Descriptive Statistics for Sex Behavior Items	30
Appendix Table D 3. MPC: Descriptive Statistics for ATOD Behavior Items	31
Appendix Table D 4. BART: Descriptive Statistics for ATOD Behavior Items	31
Appendix Table D 5. MPC: Descriptive Statistics for Social Behavior Items	32
Appendix Table D 6. BART: Descriptive Statistics for Social Behavior Items	

Appendix A. Detailed data analysis methods

Data Preparation. Data files were cleaned within each year to remove duplicate entries. Data sets were then combined across years. If a duplicate ID existed between years, the earliest entry was retained. For the outcome analysis, cases were retained only if the pretest and posttest confidential IDs could be matched (See Appendix Table A 1 and *Percent matched = Number Matched/(Number of Unmatched + Number Removed + Number Matched) * 100

APPENDIX TABLE A 1. MPC DATA PREPARATION SUMMARY

Appendix Table A 2).

MPC Data Breakdown	Year 2	Year 3	Years 4-5	Year 5+	Total
Unmatched	141	33	123	67	364
Within Year Duplicates	21	1	11	0	33
Between Year Duplicates	0	0	3	1	4
Removed due to high percentage of missing data	11	1	10	0	22
Number matched	200	108	404	37	749
Percent matched*	56.8	76.1	75.2	35.6	66.0

^{*}Percent matched = Number Matched/(Number of Unmatched + Number Removed + Number Matched) * 100

APPENDIX TABLE A 2. BART DATA PREPARATION SUMMARY

BART Data Breakdown	Year 1	Year 2	Years 4-5	Year 5+	Total
Unmatched	176	178	46	21	421
Within Year Duplicates	0	2	0	0	2
Between Year Duplicates	0	7	0	0	7
Removed due to high percentage of missing data	31	11	0	0	42
Number matched	112	119	63	35	329
Percent matched*	35.1	38.6	57.8	62.5	41.5

^{*}Percent matched = Number Matched/(Number of Unmatched + Number Removed + Number Matched) * 100

Variables were recoded when necessary to ensure adequate cell size and to aid interpretability of results. The following variables were created or recoded as follows:

- Age: Age was dichotomized into two groups:
 - o 11-13 year olds and 14-15 year olds for MPC
 - o 15 and under and 16-21 for BART
- Race/ethnicity: Race/ethnicity was dichotomized into two groups: African American and All other groups
- Baseline participation in risky behaviors: This variable was operationalized using the question, "Have you ever had sex?" with positive responses considered baseline risky behavior and negative responses considered not participating in baseline risky behavior
- Knowledge: All knowledge responses were recoded as correct or incorrect
- Knowledge and Scale Scores: These were created by averaging the items for each scale after scale analysis (See below)

Missing Data Analysis. Missing data were examined in two ways. First, a drop-out analysis was conducted to determine if baseline differences existed between students with matched pretest-posttest data and students with pretest only data using cross-tabs analysis. Cross-tabs with chi-square and Fisher's exact tests of significant were used to determine significant differences. Secondly, the matched pretest-posttest data file was examined to determine patterns of missingness among the pretest and posttest items used in scale scores for the outcome analyses. The tables below summarize the number of cases with complete data and the overall percent of missing

items for each analysis (See Appendix Table A 3 and Appendix Table A 4). For MPC, the range of missingness for variables used in the planned analyses ranged from 5.9% to 12.9% on the pretest and from 4.1% to 18.8% on the posttest. For BART, the range of missingness for variables used in the planned analyses ranged from 5% to 18.2% on the pretest and from 4.3% to 12.6% on the posttest. Because there is always some loss of power when using listwise deletion, the decision was made to impute missing data for all scales, as recommended by Graham.¹ The data were examined to determine the mechanisms of missingness. T-tests and cross-tabs comparing patterns of missing data to non-missing data as well as Little's Tests for Missing Completely at Random (MCAR) suggested that the data were MCAR or MAR. An examination of the items for each scale showed that there were relatively high percentages of items with data for each planned scale. Therefore, the EM algorithm was used to impute missing item values using the responses from related items. Additionally, the demographic variables were examined via regression analysis to determine whether they were predictors of the scale score. In cases where a significant predictor was found, that predictor was included in the set of items used in the imputation. To account for clustering in schools, the imputation process was completed separately for each school and the data were then recombined into one dataset following the imputation.

APPENDIX TABLE A 3. MPC SUMMARY OF MISSING DATA PRIOR TO IMPUTATION

		Pret	est	Post	test
Outcome	Variables for Analysis	Complete cases for analysis n (%)	Cells with Missing Data n (%)	Complete cases for analysis n (%)	Cells with Missing Data n (%)
	p_Knowa-e, q_Knowa-e, Race_di, School	654 (87.3)	95 (12.7)	652 (87.1)	97 (13.0)
Knowledge	p_Knowa-e, q_Knowa-e, Age, School	676 (90.25)	73 (9.8)	676 (90.3)	73 (9.75)
Knowicage	p_Knowa-e, q_Knowa-e, Gender, School	668 (89.2)	81 (10.8)	668 (89.2)	81 (10.8)
	p_Knowa-e, q_Knowa-e, p_Sex_9, School	663 (88.5)	86 (11.5)	662 (88.4)	87 (11.6)
Attitude	p_AttUPSa-c, q_AttUPSa-c, Race_di, School	684 (91.3)	65 (8.7)	696 (92.9)	53 (7.1)
toward	p_AttUPSa-c, q_AttUPSa-c, Age, School	707 (94.4)	42 (5.6)	719 (96.0)	30 (4.0)
unprotected	p_AttUPSa-c, q_AttUPSa-c, Gender, School	699 (93.3)	50 (6.7)	710 (94.8)	39 (5.2)
sex	p_AttUPSa-c, q_AttUPSa-c, p_Sex_9, School	694 (92.7)	55 (7.3)	706 (94.3)	43 (5.7)
	p_AttCondoma-f4, q_AttCondoma-f4, Race_di, School	567 (75.7)	182 (24.3)	602 (80.4)	147 (19.6)
Attitudes toward	p_AttCondoma-f4, q_AttCondoma-f4, Age, School	585 (78.1)	164 (21.9)	622 (83.0)	127 (17.0)
condoms	p_AttCondoma-f4, q_AttCondoma-f4, Gender, School	580 (77.4)	169 (22.6)	616 (82.2)	133 (17.8)
	p_AttCondoma-f4, q_AttCondoma-f4, p_Sex_9, School	578 (77.2)	171 (22.8)	613 (81.8)	136 (18.2)
	p_SECondoma-c, q_SECondoma-c, Race_di, School	663 (88.5)	86 (11.5)	693 (92.5)	56 (7.5)
Condom Self	p_SECondoma-c, q_SECondoma-c, Age, School	687 (91.7)	62 (8.3)	716 (95.6)	33 (4.4)
Efficacy	p_SECondoma-c, q_SECondoma-c, Gender, School	677 (90.4)	72 (9.6)	708 (94.5)	41 (5.5)
	p_SECondoma-c, q_SECondoma-c, p_Sex_9, School	677 (90.4)	72 (9.6)	703 (93.9)	46 (6.1)
Risky	p_SERiskya-f, q_SERiskya-f, Race_di, School	629 (84.0)	120 (16.0)	671 (89.6)	78 (10.4)
Behavior	p_SERiskya-f, q_SERiskya-f, Age, School	648 (86.5)	101 (13.5)	694 (92.7)	55 (7.3)
Refusal Self	p_SERiskya-f, q_SERiskya-f, Gender, School	639 (85.3)	110 (14.7)	688 (91.9)	61 (8.1)
Efficacy	p_SERiskya-f, q_SERiskya-f, p_Sex_9, School	640 (85.5)	109 (14.6)	681 (90.9)	68 (9.1)
Intentions	p_IntentSexa-c, q_IntentSexa-c, Race_di, School	679 (90.7)	70 (9.3)	680 (90.8)	69 (9.2)
IIICIIIIIIII	p_IntentSexa-c, q_IntentSexa-c, Age, School	700 (93.5)	49 (6.5)	702 (93.7)	47 (6.3)

¹ Graham, J.W. (2009). Missing Data Analysis: Making It Work in the Real World. *Annual Review of Psychology. 60,* 549-576.

p_IntentSexa-c, q_IntentSexa-c, Gender, School	691 (92.3)	58 (7.7)	694 (92.7)	55 (7.3)
p_IntentSexa-c, q_IntentSexa-c, p_Sex_9, School	686 (91.6)	63 (8.4)	689 (92.0)	60 (8.0)

APPENDIX TABLE A 4. BART SUMMARY OF MISSING DATA PRIOR TO IMPUTATION

		Prete	est	Postto	est
Outcome	Variables for Analysis	Complete cases for analysis n (%)	Cells with Missing Data n (%)	Complete cases for analysis n (%)	Cells with Missing Data n (%)
	p_Knowa-e, q_Knowa-e, Race_di, School	263 (89.5)	31 (10.5)	267 (90.8)	27 (9.2)
Knowledge	p_Knowa-e, q_Knowa-e, Age_di, School	265 (90.1)	29 (9.9)	271 (92.2)	23 (7.8)
Knowicuge	p_Knowa-e, q_Knowa-e, Gender, School	268 (91.1)	26 (8.8)	273 (92.9)	21 (7.1)
	p_Knowa-e, q_Knowa-e, p_Sex_9, School	258 (87.8)	36 (12.2)	263 (89.5)	31 (10.5)
Attitude	p_AttUPSa-c, q_AttUPSa-c, Race_di, School	274 (93.2)	20 (6.8)	275 (93.5)	19 (6.5)
toward	p_AttUPSa-c, q_AttUPSa-c, Age_di, School	278 (94.6)	16 (5.4)	279 (94.9)	15 (5.1)
unprotecte	p_AttUPSa-c, q_AttUPSa-c, Gender, School	280 (95.2)	14 (4.8)	281 (95.6)	13 (4.4)
d sex	p_AttUPSa-c, q_AttUPSa-c, p_Sex_9, School	271 (92.2)	23 (7.8)	271 (92.2)	23 (7.8)
	p_AttCondoma-f4, q_AttCondoma-f4, Race_di, School	242 (82.3)	52 (17.7)	262 (89.1)	32 (10.9)
Attitudes toward	p_AttCondoma-f4, q_AttCondoma-f4, Age_di, School	244 (83.0)	50 (17.0)	264 (89.8)	30 (10.2)
condoms	p_AttCondoma-f4, q_AttCondoma-f4, Gender, School	247 (84.0)	47 (16.0)	266 (90.5)	28 (9.5)
	p_AttCondoma-f4, q_AttCondoma-f4, p_Sex_9, School	241 (82.0)	53 (18.0)	258 (87.8)	36 (12.2)
	p_SECondoma-c, q_SECondoma-c, Race_di, School	268 (91.2)	26 (8.8)	280 (95.2)	14 (4.8)
Condom	p_SECondoma-c, q_SECondoma-c, Age_di, School	272 (92.5)	22 (7.5)	283 (96.3)	11 (3.7)
Self Efficacy	p_SECondoma-c, q_SECondoma-c, Gender, School	274 (93.2)	20 (6.8)	286 (97.3)	8 (2.7)
	p_SECondoma-c, q_SECondoma-c, p_Sex_9, School	266 (90.5)	28 (9.5)	276 (93.9)	18 (6.1)
Risky	p_SERiskyd-f, q_SERiskya-f, Race_di, School	261 (88.8)	33 (11.2)	263 (89.5)	31 (10.5)
Behavior	p_SERiskyd-f, q_SERiskya-f, Age_di, School	265 (90.1)	29 (9.9)	267 (90.8)	27 (9.2)
Refusal Self	p_SERiskyd-f, q_SERiskya-f, Gender, School	267 (90.8)	27 (9.2)	268 (91.2)	26 (8.8)
Efficacy	p_SERiskyd-f, q_SERiskya-f, p_Sex_9, School	260 (88.4)	34 (11.6)	260 (88.4)	34 (11.6)
	p_IntentSexa-c, q_IntentSexa-c, Race_di, School	273 (92.9)	21 (7.1)	269 (91.5)	25 (8.5)
Intentions	p_IntentSexa-c, q_IntentSexa-c, Age_di, School	277 (94.2)	17 (5.8)	273 (92.9)	21 (7.1)
IIICIIIIIII	p_IntentSexa-c, q_IntentSexa-c, Gender, School	279 (94.9)	15 (5.1)	275 (93.5)	19 (6.5)
	p_IntentSexa-c, q_IntentSexa-c, p_Sex_9, School	270 (91.8)	24 (8.2)	268 (91.2)	26 (8.8)

Outcome Variable Scale Development. The outcome variables included knowledge, attitudes, self-efficacy, and intention. Knowledge items were summed to create an overall knowledge score. No scale development procedures were conducted on the knowledge score based on the recognition that the items reflect the multidimensional nature of the content.

Scale development procedures for items related to attitudes, self-efficacy, and intention were conducted using factor analysis to determine whether the respective items measured a unidimensional construct and internal reliability analysis using Cronbach's alpha (See Appendix Table A 5). According to the factor analysis, all scales except one seemed to measure a single factor. The factor analysis for the Attitudes about Condoms items indicated two factors. Further analysis indicated that the two subscales identified by the factor analysis were

significantly correlated. The decision was made to keep these items together as a single scale, as in past years. Cronbach's alphas were all .7 or greater, indicating acceptable reliability. Following the scale development procedures, items related to attitudes, self-efficacy, and intentions were averaged to create the scale score for each construct.

APPENDIX TABLE A 5. SUMMARY OF SCALE DEVELOPMENT PROCEDURES

Complement	Number		ber of ctors		Cronbacl	n's Alpha		
Construct	of Items	MPC 8	& BART	M	IPC	B/	BART	
		Pretest	Posttest	Pretest	Posttest	Pretest	Posttest	
Knowledge	5	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	
Attitudes toward Unprotected Sex	3	1	1	0.901	0.925	0.933	0.937	
Attitudes about Condoms	7	2	2	0.811	0.778	0.776	0.831	
Condom Self-Efficacy	3	1	1	0.769	0.762	0.783	0.765	
Risky Behavior Refusal Self-Efficacy	3	1	1	0.680	0.731	0.781	0.773	
Intentions	3	1	1	0.752	0.773	0.712	0.773	

Data Analysis. Descriptive analyses (e.g. frequencies, percentages, means) were conducted for all survey items. Cross-tabs with chi-square tests and Fisher's Exact test were conducted to determine if there were demographic differences between students with matching pretest-posttest data and those who had pretest-only. Linear mixed models were used to determine whether differences existed between pretest and posttest scores for the following dependent variables: knowledge, attitudes toward unprotected sex, attitudes about condoms, condom self-efficacy, risky behavior refusal self-efficacy, and intentions.

The analyses using linear mixed models were conducted in two steps. First, the models were run including time (represented by pretest and posttest scores) as a fixed effect, along with the random effects of students and school, to determine overall change in outcome. The second step included time along with each demographic predictor variable (age, gender, race/ethnicity, attendance, participation in baseline risky behavior) and their interaction with time as fixed factors. The -2LL and the Schwarz's Bayesian Criterion were used to determine whether model fit improved with the addition of these variables.

Appendix B. Implementation Evaluation Tables

APPENDIX TABLE B 1. PROGRAM FIDELITY

V2 MPC		Number of Activities							
Year 2 MPC Thinking about the activities that were originally	(0 1		1	2		3		
designed in the curriculum for TODAY's session?	N	%	N	%	N	%	N	%	
A. How many activities were SKIPPED entirely?	74	71.8	22	22.3	6	5.8	0	0	
B. How many were MODIFIED from the curriculum as it was written?	88	86.2	10	9.8	4	3.9	0	0	
Year 3 MPC			N	umber o	f Activit	ies			
Thinking about the activities that were originally	(0	:	1	2	2	;	3	
designed in the curriculum for TODAY's session?	N	%	N	%	N	%	N	%	
A. How many activities were SKIPPED entirely?	64	75.3	14	16.5	3	3.5	4	4.7	
B. How many were MODIFIED from the curriculum as it was written?	76	90.5	8	9.5	0	0	0	0	
Year 1 BART Sessions with Reported Modifications								%	
No activity modifications [this session]							44	46.3	
At least one activity modified [this session]							51	53.7	
Year 2 BART			N	umber o	f Activit	ies			
Thinking about the activities that were originally	(0	:	1	2	2	3	3	
designed in the curriculum for TODAY's session?	N	%	N	%	N	%	N	%	
A. How many activities were SKIPPED entirely?	85	55.9	45	29.6	15	9.9	7	4.6	
B. How many were MODIFIED from the curriculum as it was written?	108	74.5	27	18.6	5	3.5	5	3.4	

APPENDIX TABLE B 2. FACILITATOR KNOWLEDGE

Facilitator Knowledge	Emerging (1)	Proficient (2)	Advanced (3)	Exemplary (4)	Not Observed (0)	MEAN
Year 1						
Knowledge of Curriculum & Objectives	1 (20%)	2 (40%)	2 (40%)			2.2
Knowledge of Sexual Health, HIV, and other STIs	1 (20%)	2 (40%)	1 (20%)	1 (20%)		2.4
Knowledge of MTA & Other Community Resources		2 (40%)			3 (60%)	2
Facilitator Knowledge Totals	2 (13%)	6 (40%)	3 (20%)	1 (7%)	3 (20%)	2.25
Year 2						
Knowledge of Curriculum & Objectives	1 (20%)	2 (40%)	2 (40%)			2.2
Knowledge of Sexual Health, HIV, and other STIs	1 (20%)	2 (40%)	2 (40%)			2.2
Knowledge of MTA & Other Community Resources	1 (20%)	1 (20%)	1 (20%)		2 (40%)	2.0
Facilitator Knowledge Totals	3	5	5		2	2.15

	(20%)	(33%)	(33%)		(13%)				
Year 3									
Knowledge of Curriculum & Objectives	0	4 (80%)	1 (20%)	0	0	2.2			
Knowledge of Sexual Health, HIV, and other STIs	0	3 (60%)	2 (40%)	0	0	2.4			
Knowledge of MTA & Other Community Resources*	0	0	1(20%)	0	3 (60%)	3.0			
Facilitator Knowledge Totals	0	7 (47%)	4 (27%)	0	3 (20%)	2.53			
Year 4									
Knowledge of Curriculum & Objectives	0	4 (100%)	0	0	0	2.0			
Knowledge of Sexual Health, HIV, and other STIs	0	1 (25%)	3 (75%)	0	0	2.8			
Knowledge of MTA & Other Community Resources	0	0	0	0	4 (100%)	NA			
Facilitator Knowledge Totals	0	5 (42%)	3 (25%)	0	4 (33%)	2.38			

APPENDIX TABLE B 3. CLASSROOM MANAGEMENT

Classroom Management	Emerging (1)	Proficient (2)	Advanced (3)	Exemplary (4)	Not Observed (0)	MEAN
Year 1						
General Classroom Atmosphere	1 (20%)	2 (40%)	2 (40%)	0	0	2.2
Facilitator Organization	1 (20%)	2 (40%)	2 (40%)	0	0	2.2
Management of Students	2 (40%)	2 (40%)	1 (20%)	0	0	1.8
Classroom Management Totals	4 (26%)	6 (40%)	5 (33%)	0	0	2.07
Year 2						
General Classroom Atmosphere	1 (20%)	3 (60%)	1(20%)	0	0	2.0
Facilitator Organization	3(60%)	1(20%)	1(20%)	0	0	1.6
Management of Students	1(20%)	4(80%)		0	0	1.8
Classroom Management Totals	5 (33%)	8 (53%)	2(13%)	0	0	1.8
Year 3						
General Classroom Atmosphere	0	2 (40%)	2 (40%)	1 (20%)	0	2.8
Facilitator Organization	0	2 (40%)	3 (60%)	0	0	2.6
Management of Students	0	4 (80%)	1 (20%)	0	0	2.2
Classroom Management Totals	0	8 (53%)	6 (40%)	1 (7%)	0	2.53
Year 4						
General Classroom Atmosphere	0	0	1 (25%)	3 (75%)	0	2.8
Facilitator Organization	0	0	4 (100%)	0	0	2.0
Management of Students	0	0	3 (75%)	1 (25%)	0	2.3
Classroom Management Totals	0	0	8 (67%)	4 (33%)	0	2.33

APPENDIX TABLE B 4. SESSION FACILITATION

Session Facilitation	Emerging (1)	Proficient (2)	Advanced (3)	Exemplary (4)	Not Observed (0)	MEAN
Year 1						
Facilitator Interaction and Connection with Students	2 (40%)	0	3 (60%)	0	0	2.2
Facilitator Comfort and Appropriateness with Subject Matter	0	2 (40%)	3 (60%)	0	0	2.6
Facilitator Relationship with Classroom Teacher	1 (20%)	3 (60%)	1 (20%)	0	0	2.0
Session Facilitation Totals	3 (20%)	5 (33%)	7 (47%)	0	0	2.27
Year 2						
Facilitator Interaction and Connection with Students	0	3 (60%)	2 (40%)	0	0	2.4
Facilitator Comfort and Appropriateness with Subject Matter	0	1 (20%)	4 (80%)	0	0	2.6
Facilitator Relationship with Classroom Teacher	0	3 (60%)	2 (40%)	0	0	2.4
Session Facilitation Totals	0	7 (47%)	8 (53%)	0	0	2.53
Year 3						
Facilitator Interaction and Connection with Students	0	2 (40%)	2 (40%)	1 (20%)	0	2.8
Facilitator Comfort and Appropriateness with Subject Matter	0	1 (20%)	4 (80%)	0	0	2.8
Facilitator Relationship with Classroom Teacher	0	2 (40%)	0	0	3 (60%)	2.0
Session Facilitation Totals	0	5 (33%)	6 (40%)	1 (7%)	3 (20%)	2.53
Year 4						
Facilitator Interaction and Connection with Students	0	0	1 (25%)	3 (75%)	0	3.8
Facilitator Comfort and Appropriateness with Subject Matter	0	1 (25%)	3 (75%)	0	0	2.8
Facilitator Relationship with Classroom Teacher	0	2 (50%)	2 (50%)	0	0	2.5
Session Facilitation Totals	0	3 (25%)	6 (50%)	3 (25%)	0	2.53

APPENDIX TABLE B 5. FACILITATOR RATINGS OF STUDENT ENGAGEMENT

Facilitator ratings of student engagement – Mean scores							
	Student engagement			objectives		complete vities	
Year	MPC	BART	MPC	MPC BART		BART	
Year 1	-	3.4	-	3.7	-	3.7	
Year 2	2.9	2.8	3.0	2.9	3.0	2.9	
Year 3	3.8	3.8 - 3.9 - 3.9					

^{*}Mean scores rated on 1-4 scale from "None" to "Most" of the time

APPENDIX TABLE B 6. FACILITATOR RATINGS OF TEACHER ENGAGEMENT

Facilitator ratings of teacher engagement – Mean scores							
	Teacher	Teacher	r engaged				
Year	MPC	BART	MPC	BART			
Year 1	-	3.9	ı	3.6			
Year 2	2.9	3.0	2.7	3.8			
Year 3	3.8 - 3.2						

^{*}Mean scores rated on 1-4 scale from "None" to "Most" of the time

APPENDIX TABLE B 7. MPC STUDENT SATISFACTION — SUMMARY TABLE

MPC Summary Table: Feelings about the program		Mean						
WPC Summary Table. Feelings about the program	Year 2	Year 3	Year 4	Year 5	Total			
I plan to use something I learned in this program to make a healthy decision	3.59	3.64	3.73	3.74	3.68			
The activities were interesting	3.25	3.22	3.18	3.33	3.21			
The facilitators communicated well with the group.	3.36	3.49	3.44	3.49	3.43			
I would recommend the program to a friend.	3.31	3.33	3.21	3.31	3.26			

APPENDIX TABLE B 8. MPC STUDENT SATISFACTION — FREQUENCY DISTRIBUTION

MDC Descriptives	Frequen	cy Distrib	ution – S	Strongly [Disagree/	D (SD/D)	and Stro	ngly Agr	ee/Agree	(SA/A)
MPC – Descriptives	Yea	r 2	Yea	ar 3	Yea	ar 4	Yea	ar 5	То	tal
Feelings about the	N (%)	N ((%)	N (%)		N ((%)	N ((%)
program	SD/D	SA/A	SD/D	SA/A	SD/D	SA/A	SD/D	SA/A	SD/D	SA/A
I plan to use something										
I learned in this	7	193	5	102	13	381	2	37	27	713
program to make a	(3.5)	(96.5)	(4.7)	(95.3)	(3.3)	(96.7)	(5.1)	(94.9)	(3.6)	(96.4)
healthy decision.										
The activities were	22	176	10	95	36	358	2	37	70	666
interesting.	(11.1)	(88.9)	(9.5)	(90.5)	(9.1)	(90.9)	(5.1)	(94.9)	(9.5)	(90.5)
The facilitators	16	404		00	16	277	2	26	40	602
communicated well	16 (8.1)	181 (91.9)	5 (4.9)	98 (95.1)	16 (4.1)	377 (95.9)	3 (7.7)	36 (92.3)	40 (5.5)	692 (94.5)
with the group.	(0.1)	(31.3)	(4.5)	(33.1)	(4.1)	(33.3)	(7.7)	(32.3)	(3.3)	(34.3)
I would recommend the	22	175	14	91	57	334	6	33	99	633
program to a friend.	(11.2)	(88.8)	(13.3)	(86.7)	(14.6)	(85.4)	(15.4)	(84.6)	(13.5)	(86.5)

APPENDIX TABLE B 9. BART STUDENT SATISFACTION — SUMMARY TABLE

BART - Feelings about the program			Me	ean	
BAKT - reenings about the program	Year 1	Year 2	Year 4	Year 5	Total
I plan to use something I learned in this program to make a healthy decision.	NA	3.58	3.62	3.80	3.63
The activities were interesting.	3.21	3.30	3.38	3.38	3.29
The facilitators communicated well with the group.	3.41	3.37	3.48	3.53	3.42
I would recommend the program to a friend.	3.30	3.40	3.34	3.32	3.35

APPENDIX TABLE B 10. BART STUDENT SATISFACTION — FREQUENCY DISTRIBUTION

	Frequer	ncy Distri	bution –	Strongly	Disagree/	D (SD/D)	and Stro	ngly Agre	ee/Agree	(SA/A)
BART Feelings about	Yea	ar 1	Yea	ar 2	Yea	ar 4	Yea	ar 5	То	tal
the program	N ((%)	N ((%)	N (%)	N ((%)	N (%)
	SD/D	SA/A	SD/D	SA/A	SD/D	SA/A	SD/D	SA/A	SD/D	SA/A
I plan to use something I learned in this program to make a healthy decision.	N	Α	2 (1.6)	120 (98.4)	3 (4.8)	60 (95.2)	1 (2.9)	34 (97.1)	6 (2.7)	214 (97.3)
The activities were interesting.	10 (9.6)	94 (90.4)	6 (5.0)	115 (95.0)	4 (6.6)	57 (93.4)	1 (2.9)	33 (97.1)	21 (6.6)	299 (93.4)
The facilitators communicated well with the group.	6 (5.7)	100 (94.3)	5 (4.2)	114 (95.8)	3 (5.0)	57 (95.0)	1 (2.9)	33 (97.1)	15 (4.7)	304 (95.3)
I would recommend the program to a friend.	10 (9.9)	91 (90.1)	6 (5.0)	113 (95.0)	5 (8.2)	56 (91.8)	3 (8.8)	31 (91.2)	24 (7.6)	291 (92.4)

APPENDIX TABLE B 11. TEACHER SATISFACTION WITH MPC AND BART

	Program was va	aluable addition	I would welcome the program in the future			
Year	MPC	BART	MPC	BART		
Year 1 (n=4)	-	8.5/10 (85%)	-	9.25/10 (92.5%)		
Year 2 (n=4)	3.8/4 (95%)	3.3/4 (82.5%)	3.8/4	3.4/4 (85%)		
			(95%)			
Year 3 (n=2)		3/4 (80%)		3/4 (80%)		

^{*}Year 1 was rated on 10-point scale, Years 2-3 used a 4-point scale

APPENDIX TABLE B 12. TEACHER RATINGS OF THE FACILITATORS

The facilitators	MPC Year 2	MPC Year 3	BART Year 1	BART Year 2
	n=10	n = 2	n=4	n=8
A. The facilitators were knowledgeable	3.8	3.0	3.5	3.5
B. The facilitators communicated well with the students	3.7	3.0	3.5	3.1
C. The facilitators effectively managed classroom challenges that arose	3.3	3.0	3.5	2.8
D. The facilitators gave thoughtful responses to questions	not asked	3.0	3.8	3.3
E. The facilitators were respectful of all students during the program	not asked	3.0	3.9	3.6
F. The facilitators had a positive working relationship with the school staff	not asked	3.0	3.9	3.4

Appendix C. Knowledge, Attitudes, Self-efficacy, and Intentions Tables

This appendix contains detailed data tables for participant demographics as well as for the descriptive analyses and linear mixed models analyses for knowledge, attitudes, self-efficacy, and intention items and scales.

APPENDIX TABLE C 1. MPC DEMOGRAPHIC CHARACTERISTICS

Demographic Characteristics	Total	WITHOUT Matched Data	WITH Matched Data	p value
	n (%)	n (%)	n (%)	
Gender (n=1073)				.000*
Female	554 (51.6)	215 (38.8)	339 (61.2)	
Male	519 (48.4)	133 (25.6)	386 (74.4)	
Age (n=1089)				.026*
11-12	479 (44.0)	175 (36.5)	304 (63.5)	
13	400 (36.7)	112 (28.0)	288 (72.0)	
14+	210 (19.3)	67 (31.9)	143 (68.1)	
Race/Ethnicity (n=1040)				.894
African American	907 (87.2)	288 (31.8)	619 (68.2)	
All other groups	133 (12.8)	43 (32.3)	90 (67.7)	
Risky Behavior (n=1032)				.517
Did not engage in risky behavior	851 (82.5)	252 (29.6)	599 (70.4)	
Engaged in risky behavior	181 (17.5)	58 (32.0)	123 (68.0)	

^{*}Significant differences.

APPENDIX TABLE C 2. BART DEMOGRAPHIC CHARACTERISTICS

Demographic Characteristics	Total	WITHOUT Matched Data	WITH Matched Data	p value
	n (%)	n (%)	n (%)	
Gender (n=732)				.603
Female	382 (52.2)	218 (57.1)	164 (42.9)	
Male	350 (47.8)	193 (55.1)	157 (44.9)	
Age (n=738)				.010*
9-15	440 (59.6)	230 (52.3)	210 (47.7)	
16-21	298 (40.4)	185 (62.1)	113 (37.9)	
Race/Ethnicity (n=726)				.042*
African American	504 (69.4)	296 (58.7)	208 (41.3)	
All other groups	222 (30.6)	112 (50.5)	110 (49.5)	
Risky Behavior (n=698)				.129
Did not engage in risky behavior	341 (48.9)	177 (51.9)	164 (48.1)	
Engaged in risky behavior	357 (51.1)	206 (57.7)	151 (42.3)	

^{*}Significant differences.

APPENDIX TABLE C 3. MPC: DESCRIPTIVE STATISTICS FOR KNOWLEDGE ITEMS

Is the statement True or False?	Pre	test	Post	ttest
Abstinence is the only contraception method that is 100% effective at preventing pregnancy. (T)	N	%	N	%
Incorrect	496	67.9	423	58.1
Correct	235	32.1	305	41.9
Birth control pills protect women from getting HIV. (F)	N	%	N	%
Incorrect	344	46.7	164	22.2
Correct	392	53.3	576	77.8
There is no cure for AIDS. (T)	N	%	N	%
Incorrect	418	57.3	227	31.1
Correct	312	42.7	502	68.9
Having a sexually transmitted infection (STI) like gonorrhea or syphilis increases a person's risk of getting HIV. (T)	N	%	N	%
Incorrect	479	66.1	325	44.6
Correct	246	33.9	403	55.4
Having unprotected oral sex (e.g. without a condom and/or dental dam) increases a person's risk of getting HIV. (T)	N	%	N	%
Incorrect	276	38.0	194	26.6
Correct	450	62.0	536	73.4
Scale Score Adjusted Mean	X=2	2.26	X=3	3.19

APPENDIX TABLE C 4. BART: DESCRIPTIVE STATISTICS FOR KNOWLEDGE ITEMS

Is the statement True or False?	Pretest		Posttest	
Abstinence is the only contraception method that is 100% effective at preventing pregnancy. (T)	N	%	N	%
Incorrect	171	53.9	125	38.6
Correct	146	46.1	199	61.4
Birth control pills protect women from getting HIV. (F)	N	%	N	%
Incorrect	85	26.4	50	15.4
Correct	237	73.6	275	84.6
There is no cure for AIDS. (T)	N	%	N	%
Incorrect	129	40.1	91	28.3
Correct	193	59.9	231	71.7
Having a sexually transmitted infection (STI) like gonorrhea or syphilis increases a person's risk of getting HIV. (T)	N	%	N	%
Incorrect	214	67.5	130	40.4
Correct	103	32.5	192	59.6
Having unprotected oral sex (e.g. without a condom and/or dental dam) increases a person's risk of getting HIV. (T)	N	%	N	%
Incorrect	111	34.4	84	26.2
Correct	212	65.6	237	73.8
Scale Score Adjusted Mean	X=2.85		X=3	3.59

APPENDIX TABLE C 5. MPC: SUMMARY OF LINEAR MIXED MODELS FOR KNOWLEDGE

		Informatio	on Criteria*	
Variable	Main Effect/Interaction	2LL	Schwarz's Bayesian Criterion	P Values
Time	Main Effect Time	4653.42	4675.20	.000
Year	Main Effect Year Main Effect Time Year*Time	4656.06	4677.83	.313 .000 .161
Age	Main Effect Age Main Effect Time Age*Time	4553.48	4575.19	.004 .000 .650
Race	Main Effect Race Main Effect Time Race *Time	4409.71	4431.32	.456 .000 .471
Gender	Main Effect Gender Main Effect Time Gender* Time	4504.88	4526.55	.752 .000 .968
Baseline Risky Behavior	Main Effect Baseline Risky Behavior Main Effect Time Baseline Risky Behavior*Time	4475.03	4496.69	.791 .000 .108

^{*}Smaller values indicate better fit

APPENDIX TABLE C 6. BART: SUMMARY OF LINEAR MIXED MODELS FOR KNOWLEDGE

		Informatio	n Criteria*	
Variable	Main Effect/Interaction	2LL	Schwarz's Bayesian Criterion	P Values
Time	Main Effect Time	1930.83	1949.96	.000
Year	Main Effect Year Main Effect Time Year*Time	1920.91	1940.02	.645 .000 .001
Age	Main Effect Age Main Effect Time Age*Time	1893.49	1912.55	.384 .000 .016
Race	Main Effect Race Main Effect Time Race *Time	1882.35	1895.38	.072 .000 .005
Gender	Main Effect Gender Main Effect Time Gender* Time	1916.51	1935.60	.168 .000 .946
Baseline Risky Behavior	Main Effect Baseline Risky Behavior Main Effect Time Baseline Risky Behavior*Time	1822.27	1841.24	.081 .000 .000

^{*}Smaller values indicate better fit

APPENDIX TABLE C 7. MPC: DESCRIPTIVE STATISTICS FOR ATTITUDES TOWARD UNPROTECTED SEX

Having unprotected sex can interfere with	Pretest		Pos	Posttest	
My goals and dreams for my EDUCATION.	N	%	N	%	
Strongly Disagree	60	8.2	40	5.4	
Disagree	91	12.4	66	8.9	
Agree	268	36.6	246	33.2	
Strongly Agree	314	42.8	388	52.4	
Mean Score	3.	14	3.	33	
My goals and dreams for my CAREER.	N	%	N	%	
Strongly Disagree	61	8.4	35	4.7	
Disagree	109	14.9	78	10.6	
Agree	254	34.8	242	32.7	
Strongly Agree	306	41.9	384	52.0	
Mean Score	3.	10	3.32		
My goals and dreams for my FUTURE.	N	%	N	%	
Strongly Disagree	74	10.2	40	5.4	
Disagree	85	11.7	60	8.2	
Agree	250	34.5	234	31.8	
Strongly Agree	315	43.5	402	54.6	
Mean Score	3.11		3.	36	
Scale Score Adjusted Mean	X=3	3.12	X=3	3.34	

APPENDIX TABLE C 8. BART: DESCRIPTIVE STATISTICS FOR ATTITUDES TOWARD UNPROTECTED SEX

Having unprotected sex can interfere with	Pretest		Posttest		
My goals and dreams for my EDUCATION.	N	%	N	%	
Strongly Disagree	29	9.1	19	5.9	
Disagree	41	12.9	49	15.3	
Agree	116	36.4	115	35.9	
Strongly Agree	133	41.7	137	42.8	
Mean Score	3.	11	3.	16	
My goals and dreams for my CAREER.	N	%	N	%	
Strongly Disagree	28	8.8	15	4.7	
Disagree	50	15.6	52	16.1	
Agree	117	36.6	121	37.6	
Strongly Agree	125	39.1	134	41.6	
Mean Score	3.	06	3.16		
My goals and dreams for my FUTURE.	N	%	N	%	
Strongly Disagree	25	7.8	16	5.0	
Disagree	37	11.6	42	13.0	
Agree	111	34.7	122	37.8	
Strongly Agree	147	45.9	143	44.3	
Mean Score	3.	3.19		3.21	
Scale Score Adjusted Mean	X=3	3.11	X=3	3.16	

APPENDIX TABLE C 9. MPC: SUMMARY OF LINEAR MIXED MODELS FOR ATTITUDES ABOUT UNPROTECTED SEX

		Information	on Criteria*	
Variable	Main Effect/Interaction	2LL	Schwarz's Bayesian Criterion	P Values
Time	Main Effect Time	3631.28	3653.22	.000
Year	Main Effect Year Main Effect Time Year*Time	3643.08	3665.01	.309 .001 .776
Age	Main Effect Age Main Effect Time Age*Time	3569.41	3591.28	.124 .000 .901
Race	Main Effect Race Main Effect Time Race *Time	3436.42	3458.18	.311 .000 .172
Gender**	Main Effect Gender Main Effect Time Gender* Time	3510.72	3525.28	.000 .000 .835
Baseline Risky Behavior	Main Effect Baseline Risky Behavior Main Effect Time Baseline Risky Behavior*Time	3475.25	3497.06	.000 .000 .344

^{*}Smaller values indicate better fit

APPENDIX TABLE C 10. BART: SUMMARY OF LINEAR MIXED MODELS FOR ATTITUDES ABOUT UNPROTECTED SEX

		Information	on Criteria*	
Variable	Main Effect/Interaction	2LL	Schwarz's Bayesian Criterion	P Values
Time	Main Effect Time	1613.53	1632.99	.314
Year	Main Effect Year Main Effect Time Year*Time	1660.72	1620.15	.111 .032 .000
Age	Main Effect Age Main Effect Time Age*Time	1594.92	1614.32	.844 .594 .204
Race	Main Effect Race Main Effect Time Race *Time	1572.65	1591.99	.756 .227 .572
Gender	Main Effect Gender Main Effect Time Gender* Time	1568.87	1588.25	.001 .500 .934
Baseline Risky Behavior	Main Effect Baseline Risky Behavior Main Effect Time Baseline Risky Behavior*Time	1396.01	1414.99	.011 .719 .791

^{*}Smaller values indicate better fit

^{**}When this model was run, the school variance was reduced to zero. The model presented includes subject intercept only.

APPENDIX TABLE C 11. MPC: DESCRIPTIVE STATISTICS FOR ATTITUDES TOWARD CONDOMS

If someone wanted to wear a condom	Pre	test	Pos	ttest
They would reduce the risk of pregnancy	N	%	N	%
Strongly Disagree	29	3.9	25	3.4
Disagree	67	9.1	46	6.2
Agree	356	48.3	309	41.6
Strongly Agree	285	38.7	363	48.9
Mean Score		22		36
They would reduce the risk of sexually transmitted infections				
(STIs) and HIV	N	%	N	%
Strongly Disagree	38	5.3	31	4.2
Disagree	89	12.5	46	6.3
Agree	359	50.4	307	41.8
Strongly Agree	226	31.7	350	47.7
Mean Score		09	1	33
They would show that they care about themselves and their				
sexual partners	N	%	N	%
Strongly Disagree	36	5.0	17	2.3
Disagree	81	11.3	53	7.2
Agree	333	46.6	274	37.1
Strongly Agree	264	37.0	394	53.4
Mean Score		16	1	42
Their sexual partner would react positively	N	%	N	%
Strongly Disagree	12	1.7	7	1.0
Disagree	140	20.3	158	21.9
Agree	381	55.2	387	53.8
Strongly Agree	157	22.8	168	23.3
Mean Score		99	2.99	
Their partner's sexual experience would still be fun and				
pleasurable	N	%	N	%
Strongly Disagree	56	8.3	26	3.6
Disagree	124	18.5	73	10.2
Agree	348	51.8	423	58.9
Strongly Agree	144	21.4	196	27.3
Mean Score	2.	86	1	10
Their own sexual experience would still be fun and				
pleasurable.	N	%	N	%
Strongly Disagree	52	7.7	24	3.4
Disagree	122	18.1	62	8.7
Agree	353	52.3	408	57.3
Strongly Agree	148	21.9	218	30.6
Mean Score		88	+	15
Using condoms or birth control would Be an expected part of				
the sexual experience	N	%	N	%
Strongly Disagree	43	6.2	25	3.5
Disagree	128	18.4	82	11.4
Agree	380	54.6	412	57.3
Strongly Agree	145	20.8	200	27.8
Mean Score		90 90	1	09
Scale Score Adjusted Mean		3. 00		3.20
Jeane Jeone Aujusteu Meuri	7-3	,	7-3	J. 2 U

APPENDIX TABLE C 12. BART: DESCRIPTIVE STATISTICS FOR ATTITUDES TOWARD CONDOMS

If someone wanted to wear a condom	Pretest		Posttest	
They would reduce the risk of pregnancy	N	%	N	%
Strongly Disagree	15	4.6	10	3.1
Disagree	25	7.7	19	5.8
Agree	150	46.3	151	46.2
Strongly Agree	134	41.4	147	45.0
Mean Score	3	24	3	33
They would reduce the risk of sexually transmitted infections		0/		0/
(STIs) and HIV	N	%	N	%
Strongly Disagree	14	4.3	12	3.7
Disagree	36	11.0	28	8.6
Agree	147	44.8	137	42.0
Strongly Agree	131	39.9	149	45.7
Mean Score	3	20	3	30
They would show that they care about themselves and their				
sexual partners	N	%	N	%
Strongly Disagree	7	2.2	11	3.4
Disagree	24	7.5	18	5.6
Agree	122	37.9	132	41.0
Strongly Agree	169	52.5	161	50.0
Mean Score	3.41		3.38	
Their sexual partner would react positively				
Strongly Disagree	5	1.6	9	2.8
Disagree	51	16.2	52	16.0
Agree	168	53.5	182	56.2
Strongly Agree	90	28.7	81	25.0
Mean Score		09		03
Their partner's sexual experience would still be fun and	J.		J.	
pleasurable				
Strongly Disagree	16	5.2	11	3.5
Disagree	41	13.4	34	10.7
Agree	165	53.7	187	58.8
Strongly Agree	85	27.7	86	27.0
Mean Score	3.	04	3.	09
Their own sexual experience would still be fun and pleasurable.	N	%	N	%
Strongly Disagree	17	5.5	11	3.5
Disagree	42	13.6	24	7.5
Agree	162	52.6	195	61.3
Strongly Agree	87	28.2	88	27.7
Mean Score		04	1	13
Using condoms or birth control would Be an expected part of the sexual experience	N	%	N	%
Strongly Disagree	18	5.8	17	5.3
Disagree	54	17.4	38	11.9
Agree	162	52.3	180	56.3
-			ļ	
Strongly Agree	76	24.5 95	85	26.6 04
Mean Score				
Scale Score Adjusted Mean	X=3	3.09	X=3	3.14

APPENDIX TABLE C 13. MPC: SUMMARY OF LINEAR MIXED MODELS FOR ATTITUDES ABOUT CONDOMS

		Information	n Criteria*	
Variable	Main Effect/Interaction	2LL	Schwarz's Bayesian Criterion	P Values
Time	Main Effect Time	2099.53	2121.47	.000
Year	Main Effect Year Main Effect Time Year*Time	2120.27	2142.19	.796 .000 .899
Age	Main Effect Age Main Effect Time Age*Time	2076.57	2098.44	.139 .000 .338
Race	Main Effect Race Main Effect Time Race *Time	1997.91	2019.67	.836 .000 .301
Gender	Main Effect Gender Main Effect Time Gender* Time	2046.71	2068.54	.004 .000 .962
Baseline Risky Behavior	Main Effect Baseline Risky Behavior Main Effect Time Baseline Risky Behavior*Time	2024.92	2046.74	.621 .000 .139

^{*}Smaller values indicate better fit

APPENDIX TABLE C 14. BART: SUMMARY OF LINEAR MIXED MODELS FOR ATTITUDES ABOUT CONDOMS

		Information	on Criteria*	
Variable	Main Effect/Interaction	2LL	Schwarz's Bayesian Criterion	P Values
Time	Main Effect Time	937.01	956.47	.160
Year	Main Effect Year Main Effect Time Year*Time	942.26	961.69	.016 .143 .972
Age	Main Effect Age Main Effect Time Age*Time	932.51	951.91	.311 .065 .118
Race	Main Effect Race Main Effect Time Race *Time	922.44	941.78	.088 .101 .357
Gender	Main Effect Gender Main Effect Time Gender*Time	927.46	946.83	.981 .173 .766
Baseline Risky Behavior	Main Effect Baseline Risky Behavior Main Effect Time Baseline Risky Behavior*Time	903.53	922.53	.924 .077 .301

^{*}Smaller values indicate better fit

APPENDIX TABLE C 15. MPC: DESCRIPTIVE STATISTICS FOR ATTITUDES TOWARD PREGNANCY

Attitudes toward Pregnancy	Pretest		Posttest	
If you got pregnant now, how would you feel? (Females only)	N	%	N	%
Very upset	274	82.5	269	81.8
A little upset	43	13.0	48	14.6
A little pleased	13	3.9	8	2.4
Very pleased	2	.6	4	1.2
Mean Score		1.23		23
If you got a female pregnant now, how would you feel? (Males	N	%	N	%
only)	IN	70	IN	70
Very upset	184	49.7	196	52.5
A little upset	96	25.9	98	26.3
A little pleased	65	17.6	54	14.5
Very pleased	25	6.8	25	6.7
Mean Score	1.	81	1.	<i>75</i>

APPENDIX TABLE C 16. BART: DESCRIPTIVE STATISTICS FOR ATTITUDES TOWARD PREGNANCY

Attitudes toward Pregnancy	Pretest		Posttest	
If you got pregnant now, how would you feel? (Females only)	N	%	N	%
Very upset	76	75.2	75	75.0
A little upset	17	16.8	18	18.0
A little pleased	4	4.0	6	6.0
Very pleased	4	4.0	1	1.0
Mean Score	1.	37	37 1.33	
If you got a female pregnant now, how would you feel? (Males	N	%	N	%
only)	IN	70	IN	70
Very upset	46	45.5	47	46.5
A little upset	37	36.6	32	31.7
A little pleased	12	11.9	16	15.8
Very pleased	6	5.9	6	5.9
Mean Score	1.	78	1.	81

APPENDIX TABLE C 17. MPC: DESCRIPTIVE STATISTICS FOR CONDOM SELF-EFFICACY

How CONFIDENT are you that you could	you that you could Pretest		Posttest	
Get condoms if/when you need them?	N	%	N	%
Not at all confident	122	16.8	41	5.6
A little confident	153	21.0	147	19.9
Confident	230	31.6	263	35.7
Extremely confident	223	30.6	286	38.8
Mean Score	2.	76	3.	08
Discuss using a condom with any sexual partner you might	N	%	N	%
have?	IN	70	IN	70
Not at all confident	110	15.3	46	6.3
A little confident	136	18.9	113	15.4
Confident	274	38.2	314	42.7
Extremely confident	198	27.6	263	35.7
Mean Score	2.	78	3.08	
Successfully use a condom?	N	%	N	%
Not at all confident	106	14.7	32	4.4
A little confident	118	16.4	79	10.8
Confident	224	31.1	291	39.6
Extremely confident	272	37.8	332	45.2
Mean Score	2.92		3	26
Scale Score Adjusted Mean	X=2	2.82	X=3	3.14

APPENDIX TABLE C 18. BART: DESCRIPTIVE STATISTICS FOR CONDOM SELF-EFFICACY

How CONFIDENT are you that you could	Pretest		Posttest	
Get condoms if/when you need them?	N	%	N	%
Not at all confident	19	6.0	12	3.6
A little confident	53	16.7	44	13.4
Confident	124	39.0	145	44.1
Extremely confident	122	38.4	128	38.9
Mean Score	3.	10	3.	18
Discuss using a condom with any sexual partner you might have?	N	%	N	%
Not at all confident	19	5.9	11	3.4
A little confident	42	13.1	36	11.0
Confident	135	42.1	149	45.7
Extremely confident	125	38.9	130	39.9
Mean Score	3.	14	3.22	
Successfully use a condom?	N	%	N	%
Not at all confident	24	7.5	13	4.0
A little confident	30	9.4	22	6.7
Confident	118	37.1	134	41.1
Extremely confident	146	45.9	157	48.2
Mean Score	e 3.21 3.33		33	
Scale Score Adjusted Mean	core Adjusted Mean X=3.16		X=3	3.25

APPENDIX TABLE C 19. MPC: SUMMARY OF LINEAR MIXED MODELS FOR CONDOM SELF-EFFICACY

		Information	on Criteria*	
Variable	Main Effect/Interaction	2LL	Schwarz's Bayesian Criterion	P Values
Time	Main Effect Time	3406.37	3428.31	.000
Year	Main Effect Year Main Effect Time Year*Time	3415.99	3437.92	.489 .000 .139
Age	Main Effect Age Main Effect Time Age*Time	3337.52	3359.39	.016 .000 .173
Race	Main Effect Race Main Effect Time Race *Time	3224.81	3246.57	.072 .000 .876
Gender	Main Effect Gender Main Effect Time Gender*Time	3244.65	3266.49	.000 .000
Baseline Risky Behavior	Main Effect Baseline Risky Behavior Main Effect Time Baseline Risky Behavior*Time	3250.79	3272.61	.001 .000 .000

^{*}Smaller values indicate better fit

APPENDIX TABLE C 20. BART: SUMMARY OF LINEAR MIXED MODELS FOR CONDOM SELF-EFFICACY

		Informatio	n Criteria*	
Variable	Main Effect/Interaction	2LL	Schwarz's Bayesian Criterion	P Values
Time	Main Effect Time	1279.9	1299.36	.019
Year	Main Effect Year Main Effect Time Year*Time	1283.32	1302.75	.363 .001 .048
Age	Main Effect Age Main Effect Time Age*Time	1264.30	1283.69	.414 .040 .713
Race	Main Effect Race Main Effect Time Race *Time	1239.85	1259.20	.757 .015 .393
Gender	Main Effect Gender Main Effect Time Gender*Time	1239.79	1259.17	.039 .031 .008
Baseline Risky Behavior	Main Effect Baseline Risky Behavior Main Effect Time Baseline Risky Behavior*Time	1219.69	1239.01	.004 .018 .004

^{*}Smaller values indicate better fit

APPENDIX TABLE C 21. MPC: DESCRIPTIVE STATISTICS FOR RISKY BEHAVIOR REFUSAL SELF-EFFICACY

How CONFIDENT are you that you could	Pretest		Posttest	
Recognize if a behavior is risky when it comes to getting HIV?	N	%	N	%
Not at all confident	164	23.8	91	12.6
A little confident	102	14.8	90	12.5
Confident	219	31.8	256	35.5
Extremely confident	204	29.6	285	39.5
Mean Score	2.	67	3.	02
Say no if someone wanted to have sex without a condom?	N	%	N	%
Not at all confident	102	14.1	57	7.8
A little confident	103	14.3	67	9.2
Confident	128	17.8	176	24.1
Extremely confident	388	53.8	430	58.9
Mean Score	3.	11	3.34	
Say no to a behavior that is risky when it comes to getting HIV?	N	%	N	%
Not at all confident	86	12.3	38	5.2
A little confident	50	7.2	36	5.0
Confident	140	20.0	180	24.8
Extremely confident	423	60.5	473	65.1
Mean Score	3.29		3	50
Scale Score Adjusted Mean	X=3	3.03	X=3	3.28

APPENDIX TABLE C 22. BART: DESCRIPTIVE STATISTICS FOR RISKY BEHAVIOR REFUSAL SELF-EFFICACY

How CONFIDENT are you that you could	Pretest		Posttest		
Recognize if a behavior is risky when it comes to getting HIV?	N	%	N	%	
Not at all confident	32	10.4	18	5.7	
A little confident	57	18.4	32	10.2	
Confident	108	35.0	129	41.1	
Extremely confident	112	36.2	135	43.0	
Mean Score	2	97	3.	21	
Say no if someone wanted to have sex without a condom?	N	%	N	%	
Not at all confident	18	5.6	14	4.4	
A little confident	41	12.9	35	10.9	
Confident	84	26.3	99	30.9	
Extremely confident	176	55.2	172	53.8	
Mean Score	3	31	3.34		
Say no to a behavior that is risky when it comes to getting HIV?	N	%	N	%	
Not at all confident	15	4.7	11	3.4	
A little confident	24	7.6	27	8.4	
Confident	82	25.9	86	26.7	
Extremely confident	195	61.7	198	61.5	
Mean Score	e 3.45		3.	3.46	
Scale Score Adjusted Mean	X=3	3.24	X=3	3.34	

APPENDIX TABLE C 23. MPC: SUMMARY OF LINEAR MIXED MODELS FOR RISKY BEHAVIOR REFUSAL SELF-EFFICACY

		Information	on Criteria*	
Variable	Main Effect/Interaction	2LL	Schwarz's Bayesian Criterion	P Values
Time	Main Effect Time	3447.97	3469.91	.000
Year	Main Effect Year Main Effect Time Year*Time	3453.26	3475.18	.077 .000 .135
Age	Main Effect Age Main Effect Time Age*Time	3362.46	3384.33	.085 .000 .219
Race	Main Effect Race Main Effect Time Race *Time	3255.71	3277.47	.383 .000 .552
Gender	Main Effect Gender Main Effect Time Gender* Time	3315.32	3337.16	.000 .000 .461
Baseline Risky Behavior	Main Effect Baseline Risky Behavior Main Effect Time Baseline Risky Behavior*Time	3293.61	3315.43	.000 .000 .233

^{*}Smaller values indicate better fit

APPENDIX TABLE C 24. BART: SUMMARY OF LINEAR MIXED MODELS FOR RISKY BEHAVIOR REFUSAL SELF-EFFICACY

		Informatio	on Criteria*	
Variable	Main Effect/Interaction	2LL	Schwarz's Bayesian Criterion	P Values
Time	Main Effect Time	1356.75	1376.20	.023
Year	Main Effect Year Main Effect Time Year*Time	1355.18	1374.61	.178 .006 .015
Age	Main Effect Age Main Effect Time Age*Time	1342.30	1361.69	.389 .054 .394
Race	Main Effect Race Main Effect Time Race *Time	1310.83	1330.18	.549 .002 .025
Gender	Main Effect Gender Main Effect Time Gender*Time	1317.51	1336.88	.111 .021 .440
Baseline Risky Behavior	Main Effect Baseline Risky Behavior Main Effect Time Baseline Risky Behavior*Time	1330.23	1319.55	.057 .019 .074

^{*}Smaller values indicate better fit

APPENDIX TABLE C 25. MPC: DESCRIPTIVE STATISTICS FOR INTENTIONS RELATED TO SAFER SEX

How LIKELY are you to	Pretest		Pos	Posttest	
Talk to your sexual partner about HIV and STIs?	N	%	N	%	
Not at all likely	79	11.0	34	4.7	
Not very likely	72	10.0	55	7.6	
Somewhat likely	229	31.8	235	32.5	
Very likely	341	47.3	400	55.2	
Mean Score	3.	15	3.	38	
Talk to your sexual partner about using condoms?	N	%	N	%	
Not at all likely	44	6.1	25	3.4	
Not very likely	47	6.5	29	4.0	
Somewhat likely	151	20.9	167	23.0	
Very likely	481	66.5	505	69.6	
Mean Score	3.	48	3.59		
Use a condom during sex?	N	%	N	%	
Not at all likely	25	3.4	13	1.8	
Not very likely	19	2.6	22	3.0	
Somewhat likely	129	17.8	110	15.2	
Very likely	552	76.1	578	79.9	
Mean Score	3.67		3.	3.73	
Scale Score Adjusted Mean	X=	3.42	X=3	3.56	

APPENDIX TABLE C 26. BART: DESCRIPTIVE STATISTICS FOR INTENTIONS RELATED TO SAFER SEX

How LIKELY are you to		Pre	test	Post	test
Talk to your sexual partner about HIV and STIs?		N	%	N	%
Not at all likely		37	11.7	18	5.6
Not very likely		58	18.3	52	16.3
Somewhat likely		97	30.6	111	34.8
Very likely		125	39.4	138	43.3
	Mean Score	2	98	3	16
Talk to your sexual partner about using condoms?		N	%	N	%
Not at all likely		14	4.4	9	2.8
Not very likely		17	5.3	25	7.9
Somewhat likely		84	26.4	78	24.5
Very likely		203	63.8	206	64.8
	Mean Score	3	50	3.51	
Use a condom during sex?		N	%	N	%
Not at all likely		12	3.8	9	2.8
Not very likely		6	1.9	14	4.4
Somewhat likely		42	13.2	56	17.7
Very likely		258	81.1	238	75.1
	Mean Score	3.72 3.65		65	
Scale Score Adjusted Mean		X=3	3.39	X=3	3.41

APPENDIX TABLE C 27. MPC: SUMMARY OF LINEAR MIXED MODELS FOR INTENTIONS RELATED TO SAFER SEX

		Information	Information Criteria*	
Variable	Main Effect/Interaction	2LL	Schwarz's Bayesian Criterion	P Values
Time	Main Effect Time	2831.72	2853.65	.000
Year	Main Effect Year Main Effect Time Year*Time	2845.42	2867.34	.284 .005 .485
Age	Main Effect Age Main Effect Time Age*Time	2758.02	2779.88	.145 .000 .261
Race	Main Effect Race Main Effect Time Race *Time	2662.70	2684.46	.157 .001 .613
Gender	Main Effect Gender Main Effect Time Gender*Time	2690.87	2712.71	.000 .000 .364
Baseline Risky Behavior	Main Effect Baseline Risky Behavior Main Effect Time Baseline Risky Behavior*Time	2691.07	2712.88	.000 .000 .412

^{*}Smaller values indicate better fit

APPENDIX TABLE C 28. BART: SUMMARY OF LINEAR MIXED MODELS FOR INTENTIONS RELATED TO SAFER SEX

		Informatio		
Variable	Main Effect/Interaction	2LL	Schwarz's Bayesian Criterion	P Values
Time	Main Effect Time	1264.23	1283.69	.653
Year	Main Effect Year Main Effect Time Year*Time	1271.84	1284.79	.011 .789 .845
Age	Main Effect Age Main Effect Time Age*Time	1233.88	1253.28	.976 .998 .555
Race	Main Effect Race Main Effect Time Race *Time	1224.94	1244.29	.764 .380 .146
Gender	Main Effect Gender Main Effect Time Gender* Time	1214.73	1234.11	.000 .532 .342
Baseline Risky Behavior	Main Effect Baseline Risky Behavior Main Effect Time Baseline Risky Behavior*Time	1209.24	1228.56	.000 .673 .824

^{*}Smaller values indicate better fit

Appendix D. Behavior Tables

APPENDIX TABLE D 1. MPC: DESCRIPTIVE STATISTICS FOR SEX BEHAVIOR ITEMS

Sex Behavior		Pretest		Posttest		
		%	N	%		
Have you ever been tested for HIV?						
No, I have never been tested	65.7	92.0	649	89.4		
Yes, less than 3 months ago	18	2.5	28	3.9		
Yes, 3 – 6 months ago	17	2.4	18	2.5		
Yes, more than 6 months ago	22	3.1	31	4.3		
Have you ever had sexual intercourse?						
No	598	82.9	558	78.8		
Yes	123	17.1	150	21.2		
The last time you had sexual intercourse did you or your partner	use a cond	dom? [Incl	udes only	those		
who reported ever having sexual intercourse]						
No	26	22.4	32	21.8		
Yes	72	62.1	99	67.3		
Does not apply	18	15.5	16	10.9		
The last time you had sexual intercourse did you drink alcohol or	use drugs	? [Include:	s only thos	se who		
reported ever having sexual intercourse]						
No	70	61.4	100	70.4		
Yes	15	13.2	26	18.3		
Does not apply	29	25.4	16	11.3		
During the past 3 months, with how many people have you had se	xual interc	ourse? [In	cludes only	y those		
who reported ever having sexual intercourse]						
I have not had sexual intercourse during the past 3 months	40	33.3	47	32.4		
1 person	43	35.8	57	39.3		
2 or more people	37	30.8	41	28.3		
In the past <u>3 months</u> have you had sexual intercourse <u>without</u> using an effective method of birth control,						
even once? [Includes only those who reported ever having sexual	ı		<u> </u>			
No	47	41.2	61	45.5		
Yes	12	10.5	20	14.9		
Does not apply	55	48.2	53	39.6		

APPENDIX TABLE D 2. BART: DESCRIPTIVE STATISTICS FOR SEX BEHAVIOR ITEMS

Sex Behavior	Pretest		Posttest			
Sex Bellavior		%	N	%		
Have you ever been tested for HIV?						
No, I have never been tested	210	66.5	202	63.1		
Yes, less than 3 months ago	66	20.9	71	22.2		
Yes, 3 – 6 months ago	19	6.0	20	6.3		
Yes, more than 6 months ago	21	6.6	27	8.4		
Have you ever had sexual intercourse?						
No	164	52.1	172	53.9		
Yes	151	47.9	147	46.1		
The last time you had sexual intercourse did you or your partner	use a cond	dom? [Incl	udes only	those		
who reported ever having sexual intercourse]						
No	38	25.9	15	10.5		
Yes	97	66.0	77	53.8		
Does not apply	12	8.2	51	35.7		
The last time you had sexual intercourse did you drink alcohol or	use drugs	? [Include:	s only thos	e who		
reported ever having sexual intercourse]						
No	105	71.9	100	71.4		
Yes	36	24.7	35	25.0		
Does not apply	5	3.4	5	3.6		
During the past 3 months, with how many people have you had se	xual interc	ourse? [In	cludes onl	y those		
who reported ever having sexual intercourse]						
I have not had sexual intercourse during the past 3 months	39	29.3	25	18.8		
1 person	60	45.1	66	49.6		
2 or more people	34	25.6	42	31.6		
In the past <u>3 months</u> have you had sexual intercourse <u>without</u> using an effective method of birth control,						
even once? [Includes only those who reported ever having sexual	intercour	_				
No	29	39.2	31	42.5		
Yes	17	23.0	18	24.7		
Does not apply	28	37.8	24	32.9		

APPENDIX TABLE D 3. MPC: DESCRIPTIVE STATISTICS FOR ATOD BEHAVIOR ITEMS

ATOD Behavior	Pretest		Posttest		
ATOD Behavior		%	N	%	
During the past 30 days, on how many days did you smoke cigarettes?					
0 Days	612	96.1	523	94.4	
1 or 2 Days	14	2.2	16	2.9	
3 to 5 Days	4	.6	8	1.4	
6 to 9 Days	1	.2	1	.2	
10 to 19 Days	4	.6	1	.2	
20 to 29 Days	0	0	0	0	
All 30 Days	2	.3	5	.9	
During the past 30 days, on how many days did you have at least one drink of alcohol?					
0 Days	560	87.5	477	84.4	
1 or 2 Days	60	9.4	51	9.0	
3 to 5 Days	10	1.6	25	4.4	
6 to 9 Days	4	.6	4	.7	
10 to 19 Days	2	.3	4	.7	
20 to 29 Days	3	.5	0	0	
All 30 Days	1	.2	4	.7	
During the past 30 days, on how many times did you use marijuan	a?				
0 Times	581	91.2	488	86.1	
1 or 2 Times	27	4.2	34	6.0	
3 to 9 Times	11	1.7	25	4.4	
10 to 19 Times	0	0	10	1.8	
20 to 39 Times	8	1.3	0	0	
40+ Times	7	1.1	10	1.8	

APPENDIX TABLE D 4. BART: DESCRIPTIVE STATISTICS FOR ATOD BEHAVIOR ITEMS

ATOD Behavior	Pretest		Posttest			
	N	%	N	%		
During the past 30 days, on how many days did you smoke cigare	During the past 30 days, on how many days did you smoke cigarettes?					
0 Days	153	96.2	135	93.1		
1 or 2 Days	2	1.3	5	3.4		
3 to 5 Days	0	0	1	.7		
6 to 9 Days	1	.6	0	0		
10 to 19 Days	0	0	1	.7		
20 to 29 Days	1	.6	1	.7		
All 30 Days	2	1.3	2	1.4		
During the past 30 days, on how many days did you have at least one drink of alcohol?						
0 Days	124	71.7	107	66.9		
1 or 2 Days	26	15.0	28	17.5		
3 to 5 Days	10	5.8	13	8.1		
6 to 9 Days	7	4.0	5	3.1		
10 to 19 Days	3	1.7	3	1.9		
20 to 29 Days	1	.6	1	.6		
All 30 Days	2	1.2	3	1.9		
During the past 30 days, on how many times did you use marijuana?						
0 Times	121	71.2	107	64.5		
1 or 2 Times	20	11.8	26	15.7		

3 to 9 Times	11	6.5	17	10.2
10 to 19 Times	9	5.3	7	4.2
20 to 39 Times	3	1.8	1	.6
40+ Times	6	3.5	8	4.8

APPENDIX TABLE D 5. MPC: DESCRIPTIVE STATISTICS FOR SOCIAL BEHAVIOR ITEMS

Social Behavior	Pretest		Posttest		
Social Bellaviol		%	N	%	
During the past 12 months, how many times were you in a physica	I fight?				
0 Times	288	42.3	288	44.5	
1 Time	155	22.8	138	21.3	
2 Times	96	14.1	98	15.1	
3 Times	43	6.3	46	7.1	
4+ Times	99	14.5	77	11.9	
"I stay away from people who might get me in trouble."					
Strongly Disagree	51	7.2	39	5.3	
Disagree	174	24.4	139	19.0	
Agree	332	46.6	351	48.0	
Strongly Agree	156	21.9	202	27.6	
Is there a special adult in your life who you spend time with or talk to?					
No	92	13.8	78	12.2	
Yes	573	86.2	562	87.8	

APPENDIX TABLE D 6. BART: DESCRIPTIVE STATISTICS FOR SOCIAL BEHAVIOR ITEMS

Social Behavior	Pretest		Posttest		
Social Dellaviol		%	N	%	
During the past 12 months, how many times were you in a physica	I fight?				
0 Times	94	53.7	84	50.9	
1 Time	35	20.0	38	23.0	
2 Times	18	10.3	22	13.3	
3 Times	12	6.9	9	5.5	
4+ Times	16	9.1	12	7.3	
"I stay away from people who might get me in trouble."					
Strongly Disagree	10	4.8	9	4.3	
Disagree	45	21.4	48	23.0	
Agree	94	44.8	102	48.8	
Strongly Agree	61	29.0	50	23.9	
Is there a special adult in your life who you spend time with or talk to?					
No	37	18.2	40	21.2	
Yes	166	81.8	149	78.8	